

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov 3

DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 12/10/2001 01-235 ¬ 10/006,135 Masami Naito 1717 23400 7590 06/26/2003 POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC **EXAMINER** 11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE STEIN, STEPHEN J SUITE 10 RESTON, VA 20190 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1775

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
₹			10/006,1	35	NAITO ET AL.	
	Offic	Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
		,	Stephen	J Stein	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears n the cover sheet with th correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)🖂	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed	d on			
2a)□	This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2t	o) This action is	non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)						
1) Notice	e of Reference of Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ture Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	D-948) er No(s) <u>3</u> .		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
J.S. Patent and Tro PTO-326 (Rev	ademark Office v. 04-01)		Office Action Summa	iry	Part of Paper No. 6	

Application/Control Number: 10/006,135

Art Unit: 1775

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 24-29 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 24-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,679,153 (Dmitriev et al.).

Dmitriev teaches a single crystal silicon carbide substrate having a hallow micropipe pipe shaped defect wherein the micropipe defect has a diameter which ranges from a few microns to 0.1 mm (more than twice as large) extending along the growth direction (Abstract, Figure 4 and col. 2, lines 3-6). The reference further teaches that an epitaxial layer of silicon carbide is grown on the substrate thereby closing (covering) the micropipe defect so that the micropipe defect is eliminated (conductive region). (col. 2, lines 50-68 and col. 4, lines 32-39).

4. Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,214,108 (Okamoto et al.).

Okamoto teaches a single crystal silicon carbide single crystal body having a hollow tubes called micropipe defects which have a diameter ranging from sub-microns to several

Application/Control Number: 10/006,135

Art Unit: 1775

microns (more than twice as large) extending along the growth direction in the growth crystal (abstract and col. 1, lines 13-18). Okamoto further teaches that it is known in the prior art that micropipe defects may be closed in the epitaxial layer (conductive region) grown on the SiC substrate by liquid phase epitaxy in the growth direction (Col. 1, lines 29-34). Okamoto further teaches that the micropipe defects existing in a silicon carbide single crystal can be closed by coating with a single crystal silicon carbide material and then performing heat treatment to thereby close the micropipe defects existing in the silicon carbide single crystal, not in the newly grown layer of silicon carbide single crystal material (col. 2, lines 12-34).

Page 3

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 29 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: 6.

While the prior art teaches single crystal silicon carbide substrate having a hollow micropipe pipe shaped defect wherein the micropipe defect has a diameter which ranges from a few microns to 0.1 mm extending along the growth direction, with an epitaxial layer of silicon carbide is grown on the substrate thereby closing (covering) the micropipe defect so that the micropipe defect is eliminated, the prior art fails to teach that the epitaxial film as a low resistive film and a high resistive epitaxial film formed on the low resistive epitaxial film, wherein said conductive region is the low resistive film.

Art Unit: 1775

### Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Stein whose telephone number is (703) 305-0583. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached by dialing (703) 308-3822. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose phone number is (703) 308-0661. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-9311 for after final responses.

June 23, 2003